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## SIMON Lightweight Block Ciphers

- NSA (National Security Agency), U.S. introduced two families of lightweight block ciphers in June 2013
- SIMON has been optimized for performance in Hardware implementations and
- SPECK has been optimized for Software implementations
- Standardized by ISO as part of the RFID air interface standard, namely, ISO/29167-21, in 2018


## SIMON Lightweight Block Ciphers

Based on a typical Feistel design, each round consists of three simple bitwise operations: "AND", "XOR" and "rotation"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{i+1}=F\left(X^{i}\right) \oplus Y^{i} \oplus K^{i} \\
& Y^{i+1}=X^{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
F\left(X^{i}\right)=\left(S^{1}\left(X^{i}\right) \& S^{8}\left(X^{i}\right)\right) \oplus S^{2}\left(X^{i}\right)
$$



## SIMON Lightweight Block Ciphers

Members of the SIMON family

| Cipher | Block size <br> $2 n$ | Key words <br> $m$ | Key size <br> $m n$ | Rounds <br> $T$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIMON-32/64 | 32 | 4 | 64 | 32 |
| SIMON-48/72 | 48 | 3 | 72 | 36 |
| SIMON-48/96 | 48 | 4 | 96 | 36 |
| SIMON-64/96 | 64 | 3 | 96 | 42 |
| SIMON-64/128 | 64 | 4 | 128 | 44 |
| SIMON-96/96 | 96 | 2 | 96 | 52 |
| SIMON-96/144 | 96 | 3 | 144 | 54 |
| SIMON-128/128 | 128 | 2 | 128 | 68 |
| SIMON-128/196 | 128 | 3 | 196 | 69 |
| SIMON-128/256 | 128 | 4 | 256 | 72 |

## A brief summary of attacks against SIMON Ciphers

There have been more than 70 security analysis papers on SIMON by 2018

- Statistics-based attacks: Differential and Linear cryptanalysis
- require a large amount of data
- Algebraic attack
- deterministic, i.e., it doesn't depend on any statistical property
- requires just a couple of pair plainttexts/ciphertexts
- complexity heavily depends on the complexity of algebraic solving techniques
- Implementation attacks
- Side-channel analysis
- Fault analysis


## Algebraic Differential Fault Attacks on SIMON ciphers

## Algebraic Differential Fault attacks

Inject a fault at intermediate input of an $r^{t h}$-round cipher

In bit-flip fault model, (only) one bit will be flipped when a fault injected

- Let $x_{\ell}^{r}$ denote the value of the bit before it is flipped, so $\bar{x}_{j}^{r}=x_{j}^{r}+1$, where $j=\ell$ and $\bar{x}_{j}^{r}=x_{j}^{r}$ for everywhere else.
- Let input difference $\delta_{j}^{r}=\bar{x}_{j}^{r}+x_{j}^{r}$, so $\delta_{\ell}^{r}=1$, and $\delta_{j}^{r}=0$ for $j \neq \ell$
- Each bit flipped will affect to 3 input bits in the next round



## Algebraic Fault Attacks against SIMON ciphers

## Lemma

Let $\delta_{j}^{i}=x_{j}^{i}+x_{j}^{i}$ for $r \leq i \leq T$ be the differential representation of two correct and faulty bits $x_{j}^{i}$ and $x_{j}^{\prime i}$. We have, $\delta_{j}^{r}=0$ for $j \neq l$ and equal to 1 if $j=l$, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{j}^{i+1}=\delta_{j-1}^{i} x_{j-8}^{i}+\delta_{j-8}^{i} x_{j-1}^{i}+\delta_{j-1}^{i} \delta_{j-8}^{i}+\delta_{j-2}^{i}+\delta_{j}^{i-1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{j}^{i+1}=x_{j-1}^{i} x_{j-8}^{i}+x_{j-2}^{i}+y_{j}^{i}+k_{j}^{i}, \text { and } \\
& \bar{x}_{j}^{i+1}=\bar{x}_{j-1}^{i} \bar{x}_{j-8}^{i}+\bar{x}_{j-2}^{i}+\bar{y}_{j}^{i}+k_{j}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing up the two equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{j}^{i+1} & =x_{j-1}^{i} x_{j-8}^{i}+\bar{x}_{j-1}^{i} \bar{x}_{j-8}^{i}+\delta_{j-2}^{i}+\delta_{j}^{i-1} \\
& =\delta_{j-1}^{i} x_{j-8}^{i}+\delta_{j-8}^{i} x_{j-1}^{i}+\delta_{j-1}^{i} \delta_{j-8}^{i}+\delta_{j-2}^{i}+\delta_{j}^{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Bit-flip attack at the second last round $(T-2)$
Aim: retrieve the last round key $K^{T-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{T-1}=X^{T-2} \oplus F\left(Y^{T}\right) \oplus X^{T} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

| Bit | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta^{T-3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-2}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T}$ | $*$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $x_{6}^{T-2}+x_{8}^{T-1}$ | $*$ |


| Bit | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta^{T-3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-1}$ | $x_{6}^{T-2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-2}$ |
| $\Delta^{T}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-2}+x_{10}^{T-1}$ | $*$ | 0 |

Conclusion: If the attacker controls the position of faults, she could retrieve the last round key with $n / 2$ faults.

Bit-flip attack at the third last round $(T-3)$
Aim: retrieve the last two round keys $K^{T-1}$ and $K^{T-2}$

| Bit | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\Delta^{T-4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-1}$ | $x_{6}^{T-3} x_{14}^{T-2}+$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $x_{6}^{T-3}+x_{8}^{T-2}$ | $x_{6}^{T-3} x_{0}^{T-2}+$ |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x_{8}^{T-3} x_{7}^{T-2}+$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $x_{6}^{T-3} x_{8}^{T-3}$ |


| Bit | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta^{T-4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-2}$ | $x_{6}^{T-3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-3}$ |
| $\Delta^{T-1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-3}+x_{10}^{T-2}$ | $x_{8}^{T-3} x_{9}^{T-2}$ | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T}$ | $*$ | 0 | 1 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |

Attacker can retrieve 3.5 bits $X^{T-2}$ and 2 bits $X^{T-3}$ with 1 fault Conclusion: If the attacker controls the position of faults, she could retrieve the last two round key with $n / 2$ faults.

## Recover the master key

- Ciphers with key words $m=2$ require two round keys to recover the master key, so the attack at the third last round $T-3$ could be used
- Likewise, ciphers with key words $m=3$ and 4 require 3 (resp. 4) round keys to recover the master key
- To get more round keys, attacker will inject faults in an earlier round, e.g., at the round $T-5$ to get 4 round keys


## Differential Trail Table

| Bit | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta^{T-6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-5}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-3}$ | $*$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $x_{6}^{T-5}+x_{8}^{T-4}$ | $*$ |
| $\Delta^{T-2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $x_{6}^{T-5}$ <br> $x_{8}^{T-4}+x_{10}^{T-3}$ | $*$ | $*$ | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-1}$ | $*$ | 0 | $x_{6}^{T-5}+x_{8}^{T-4}+$ <br> $x_{10}^{T-3}+x_{12}^{T-2}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $\Delta^{T}$ | Known values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Bit | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta^{T-6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-4}$ | $x_{6}^{T-5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-5}$ |
| $\Delta^{T-3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-5}+x_{10}^{T-4}$ | $*$ | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T-2}$ | $*$ | 0 | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-5}$ <br> $x_{10}^{T-4}+x_{12}^{T-3}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
| $\Delta^{T-1}$ | 1 | $x_{8}^{T-5}+x_{10}^{T-4}+$ <br> $x_{12}^{T-3}+x_{14}^{T-2}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 0 |
| $\Delta^{T}$ | Known values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Bit flip attack using simplified Gröbner basis

- Choose one pair of plaintext/ciphertext
- Perform $t$ bit flips at round $r-6$.
- This gives $t+1$ different plaintext/ciphertext pairs.
- Form the equations together with the linear equations for the bit flips.
- Perform ElimLin until no more linear equation can be found.
- Extract out all the equations involving the key bits. Let $S$ denote this set of equations.
- Let $S^{*}=S \cup\left\{k_{i} f: f \in S, k_{i}\right.$ is a key variable $\}$. Perform Gaussian elimination and extract out all the equations with degree $\leq 2$. Continue the process until all the key variables are found.


## Our experimental results

We carried out the above attack on 3 versions of SIMON

| Cipher | Round | Total no of <br> key variables | No of <br> faults | Average No of <br> key variables found | Timing (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIMON-32/64 | $T-5$ | 512 | 4 | 508.38 | 2.6 |
| SIMON-32/64 | $T-5$ | 512 | 5 | 511.46 | 0.7 |
| SIMON-32/64 | $T-6$ | 512 | 3 | 511.8 | 35.3 |
| SIMON-32/64 | $T-6$ | 512 | 4 | 511.9 | 2 |
| SIMON-48/72 | $T-6$ | 864 | 4 | 864 | 26 |
| SIMON-48/72 | $T-6$ | 864 | 5 | 864 | 8.5 |
| SIMON-48/96 | $T-6$ | 864 | 4 | 864 | 5.3 |
| SIMON-48/96 | $T-6$ | 864 | 5 | 864 | 4.1 |
| SIMON-64/128 | $T-6$ | 1048 | 5 | 1046 | 34.3 |
| SIMON-64/128 | $T-7$ | 1048 | 5 | 1048 | 28.8 |

## Bit-flip attacks using SAT solvers

- Randomly select a plaintext/ciphertext pair
- Fix a round $r_{0}<T$.
- For each $i=0$ to $t-1$, flip bit $i$ at round $r_{0}$ and obtain the corresponding faulty ciphertext. We therefore have 1 actual ciphertext and $t$ faulty ciphertexts.
- Decrypt the faulty ciphertexts to find the corresponding plaintexts.
- Write down the equations for the $t+1$ plaintext/ciphertext pairs together with the linear relations representing the bit flips.
- Solve the system using the SAT solver


## Our experimental results

Table: Number of instances solved out of 50 in 10 minutes and corresponding executed timings.

| Cipher | No of <br> faults | No of key <br> bits fixed | Instances <br> solved | Timing <br> $(\mathrm{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIMON-32/64 | 1 | 18 | 34 | 99.1 |
| SIMON-32/64 | 1 | 20 | 42 | 69.4 |
| SIMON-32/64 | 1 | 22 | 46 | 47.4 |
| SIMON-48/72 | 1 | 22 | 36 | 41.2 |
| SIMON-48/72 | 1 | 24 | 42 | 31.9 |
| SIMON-48/72 | 1 | 26 | 45 | 37 |
| SIMON-48/96 | 1 | 40 | 22 | 77.1 |
| SIMON-48/96 | 1 | 42 | 30 | 103.7 |
| SIMON-48/96 | 1 | 44 | 34 | 72.4 |

# Thank you for listening! 

Questions

